

National Laboratory for HIV Reference Services Sexually Transmitted and Bloodborne Infections National Microbiology Laboratory Public Health Agency of Canada

HIV Serology Quality Assessment Program Summary for Panel HIVSER 2023Apr19

2023Apr19 HIV Serology Panel						
Panel Sample	True Status	Labs Reporting Incorrect Status				
A	HIV-1/2 Ag/Ab Negative					
В	HIV-2 Ab Positive					
С	HIV-1 Ab Positive					
D	HIV-2 Ab Positive					
E	HIV-1/2 Ag/Ab Negative					

Summary of findings observed for the 2023Apr19 panel:

1) Participant HV55 and HV79 did not submit results for this panel.



National Laboratory for HIV Reference Services Sexually Transmitted and Bloodborne Infections National Microbiology Laboratory Public Health Agency of Canada

HIV Serology Quality Assessment Program <u>Final Report for Panel HIVSER 2023Apr19</u>

Issued 2023-June-22

Introduction

This final report is specific to the 2023Apr19 panel only and is publicly available. The NLHRS distributed the 2022Nov14 panel and the 2023Apr19 panel on October 26, 2022. The identity of the participants is not disclosed. The deadline for results submission was April 19, 2023. The preliminary report was issued on May 3, 2023.

Panel Samples, HIV Test Kits, and Data Entry

- Panel Composition:
 - The 2023Apr19 panel consisted of five samples: two HIV negative (A, E), one HIV-1 Ab positive (C) and two HIV-2 Ab positive (B, D). Samples B and C were diluted 1 in 2 with defibrinated human plasma (Basematrix 53, Seracare Life Sciences). Testing and characterization of the panel by the NLHRS prior to shipment is presented in Appendix 2. Panels were sent to 42 participants including the NLHRS on October 26, 2022. It is the same material used for the 2022Nov14 panel.
 - The metrological traceability and uncertainty is not applicable for this panel.
- HIV Test Kits
 - Eleven different assays were used by 38 participants (including the NLHRS) who returned results (Appendix 3).
- Data entry
 - Results entry for this panel utilized an NML developed website.

Homogeneity and stability

 The homogeneity and stability of the 2023Apr19 HIV serology panel was assessed by comparing the participants' results with the panel characterization results obtained by the NLHRS prior to the panel send-out. The National Laboratory for HIV Reference Services is accredited to ISO/IEC 17043 by the Standards Council of Canada for the specific scope of accreditation published on <u>www.scc.ca</u>

 There was no indication of heterogeneity or instability of the panel samples as the data submitted by the participants was consistent with the expected results from the NLHRS characterization of each panel member (Figures 1, 2, and Appendix 2).

Results

- Evaluation Criteria:
 - Negative samples: HIV non-reactive/negative in the final HIV serology interpretation with assay results supporting the final serology interpretation.
 - Positive samples: HIV reactive/positive in the final HIV serology interpretation with assay results supporting the final serology interpretation. Participants must provide a recommendation for further action for samples that they could not determine the true serology status for based on the assay used in their testing.
- Qualitative Group Analysis (Figures 1 and 2)
 - Sample A (HIV-1/2 Ag/Ab Negative) 38/38 participants (including NLHRS) provided either a correct serology status and/or recommendation.
 - Sample B (HIV-2 Ab Positive) 38/38 participants (including NLHRS) provided either a correct serology status and/or recommendation.
 - Sample C (HIV-1 Ab Positive) 38/38 participants (including NLHRS) provided either a correct serology status and/or recommendation.
 - Sample D (HIV-2 Ab Positive) 38/38 (including NLHRS) participants provided either a correct serology status and/or recommendation.
 - Sample E (HIV-1/2 Ag/Ab Negative) 38/38 (including NLHRS) participants provided either a correct serology status and/or recommendation.



Figure 1: The final HIV serology status of the positive samples in the 2023Apr19 HIV serology panel submitted by participants using an HIV screening assay.

The National Laboratory for HIV Reference Services is accredited to ISO/IEC 17043 by the Standards Council of Canada for the specific scope of accreditation published on <u>www.scc.ca</u>



Figure 2: The final HIV serology status of the positive samples in the 2023Apr19 HIV serology panel submitted by participants (including NLHRS) using HIV screening and confirmatory assays.

Findings

All participants have correctly identified the serology status and/or provided an appropriate recommendation for the panel samples included in the 2023Apr19 test event.

Two participants (HV55 and HV79) did not submit their results for this panel.

In this test event, we noticed another Abbott Architect user adopted the newer Abbott Alinity platform. In summary, a total of 12 participants have switched from the Abbott Architect platform to the Abbott Alinity platform since the 2021Apr19 test event. We will continue to monitor if this trend continues in future events.

In closing, we value each laboratory's participation in these QA test events and your suggestions for improvement. The NLHRS is committed to improve all aspects of the HIV serology proficiency-testing program in order to provide quality proficiency testing to our participants.

If you have any comments, suggestions or concerns, please contact us at:

nlhrs.qap-peq.lnsrv@phac-aspc.gc.ca

Thank you for your participation in the NLHRS HIV Serology QA Program

John Ad

John Ho Quality Assurance Program Coordinator National Laboratory for HIV Reference Services Public Health Agency of Canada Tel: (204) 789-6518

fille

Dr. John Kim Laboratory Chief National Laboratory for HIV Reference Services Public Health Agency of Canada Tel: (204) 789-6527

The National Laboratory for HIV Reference Services is accredited to ISO/IEC 17043 by the Standards Council of Canada for the specific scope of accreditation published on

www.scc.ca



Appendix 1: Adaptation of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) M53-*Criteria for Laboratory Testing and Diagnosis of Human Immunodeficiency Virus Infection: Approved Guideline* Algorithm I.

Appendix 2: Summary of NLHRS characterization of the 2023Apr19 HIV serology panel samples

Sample Final HIV Status		A/E (Duplicate)		с	D	
		HIV-1/2 Ag/Ab Negative	HIV-2 Ab Positive	HIV-1 Ab Positive	HIV-2 Ab Positive	
bioLytical INSTI® HIV-1/2 Rapid Test	Result	Non-Reactive	Reactive	Reactive	Reactive	
Bio-Rad GS HIV p24	Bio-Rad GS HIV p24 Result No.		Non-Reactive	Non-Reactive	Non-Reactive	
Bio-Rad GS HIV p24 Confirmatory		Not Tested	Not Tested	Not Tested	Not Tested	
	Result	Negative	HIV-2	HIV-1	HIV-2	
	sgp120	-	-	++	NA	
	gp41	-	-	+++	NA	
Fujirebio INNO-LIA HIV-I/II	p31	-	++	++	NA	
Score	p24	-	+	++	NA	
	p17	-	-	+	NA	
	sgp105	-	++	-	NA	
	gp36	-	++	-	NA	
	Result	Negative	HIV-2	HIV-1	HIV-2	
	gp36	-	+	-	+	
	gp140	-	+	-	+	
Bio-Rad Geenius HIV-1/HIV-2	p31	-	+	-	+	
Supplemental Assay	gp160	-	-	+	-	
	p24	-	-	-	-	
	gp41	-	-	+	-	
	CTRL	+	+	+	+	



Appendix 3: Summary of assays used by the participants in the 2023Apr19 HIV serology test event

Appendix 4: Summary of bands detected for samples B, C, and D by the Bio-Rad Geenius HIV-1/2 confirmatory assay in the 2023Apr19 HIV serology test event (including NLHRS)

Bio-Rad Geenius	Frequency of Bands Detected						
Sample	gp36	gp140	p31	gp160	p24	gp41	CTRL
2023Apr19B	12	12	12	-	-	-	12
2023Apr19C	-	-	-	12	2	12	12
2023Apr19D	12	12	12	-	-	-	12

The National Laboratory for HIV Reference Services is accredited to ISO/IEC 17043 by the Standards Council of Canada for the specific scope of accreditation published on <u>www.scc.ca</u>

Appendix 5: Troubleshooting

Troubleshooting; common causes of outlying and/or aberrant results in serology and molecular Laboratories.

Type of Error	Possible Cause(s)	Pre-Analytical	Analytical	Post- Analytical				
Sample	Can occur during specimen reception or testing. May result	 ✓ 	✓					
mix-up	in outlying/aberrant results for one or all samples mixed-up.	•						
Transcription	 Incorrect test ordering by physician 	✓						
	Incorrect shipment address	✓						
	 Selecting the wrong assay for data entry 	\checkmark						
	 Interchanging results for two or more specimens 			\checkmark				
	Entering incorrect results			\checkmark				
	 Entering values in the incorrect field (e.g., OD as S/Co) 			\checkmark				
	• Entering values in the incorrect unit (e.g., IU/mL instead			\checkmark				
	of log ₁₀ copies/mL)			v				
	• Using a comma instead of a dot to denote a decimal point			\checkmark				
	• Selecting the incorrect assay interpretation or analyte			✓				
	• Failure to recommend follow-up testing where necessary			✓				
	It is recommended all results that are manually transcribed or entered electronically be checked by a							
	second individual to avoid transcription errors.							
	Sporadic test results identified as outlying and/or aberrant ca	n be classified a	s random e	vents. Possible				
	causes of random error include:							
	 Incorrect sample storage/shipping conditions 	\checkmark	✓					
Outlying	Incorrect test method	✓	✓					
and/or	• Insufficient mixing of sample, especially following freezing		✓					
Aberrant Results	Poor pipetting		✓					
	Ineffective or inconsistent washing		✓					
(<u>random error</u>)	Transcription errors	✓		✓				
	Cross-contamination or carryover	✓	✓					
	Presence of inhibitors to PCR		✓					
	A series of test results identified as outlying and/or aberrant may be due to a systematic problem.							
	Systematic problems may be due to:							
Outlying	Reagents contaminated, expired, or subject to batch		✓					
	variation							
	 Instrument error or malfunction 		✓					
	 Insufficient washing 		✓					
	 Incorrect wavelength used to read the assay result 		✓					
and/or	• Cycling times too long/short or temperature too high/low		\checkmark					
Aberrant	 Incubation time too long/short or temperature too 		✓					
Results (<u>systematic</u>	high/low		•					
<u>error</u>)	 Insufficient mixing/centrifuging before testing 		\checkmark					
	 Incorrect storage of test kits and/or reagents 	\checkmark						
	Contamination of master-mix, extraction areas or		~					
	equipment							
	Ineffective extraction process		✓					
	Degradation of master-mix components		✓					
	• Suboptimal primer design (in-house assays)		✓					

This table was modified from a report produced by the National Reference Laboratory (NRL), Melbourne, Australia.